arXiv:1607.03834v1 [math.QA] 13 Jul 2016

TWISTED SIGMA-MODEL SOLITONS ON THE QUANTUM PROJECTIVE LINE

GIOVANNI LANDI

Abstract. On the configuration space of projections in a noncommutative algebra, and for an automorphism of the algebra, we use a twisted Hochschild cocycle for an action functional, and a twisted cyclic cocycle for a topological term. The latter is Hochschild-cohomologous to the former and positivity in twisted Hochschild cohomology results into a lower bound for the action functional. While the equations for the critical points are rather complicate, the use of the positivity and the bound by the topological term leads to self-duality equations (thus yielding twisted noncommutative sigma-model solitons, or instantons). We present explicit non-trivial solutions on the quantum projective line.

A Beppe con affetto e gratitudine per il suo settantesimo compleanno

Contents

1. An introduction by way of a brief recalling 2
2. The geometry of the quantum projective line 4
2.1. The quantum sphere S^3_q 5
2.2. The quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1_q$ 6
2.3. Equivariant line bundles on $\mathbb{C}P^1_q$ 7
2.4. Covariant calculi 8
2.5. Left-covariant forms on S^3_q 8
2.6. Holomorphic forms on $\mathbb{C}P^1_q$ 9
3. Positive cocycles and twisted conformal structures 11
3.1. Twisted positive Hochschild cocycles 11
3.2. A cocycle for the quantum projective line 12
3.3. Couplings to bundles 13
4. The action functional and the lower bound 14
4.1. Sigma-models on the quantum projective line 14
4.2. The twisted action functional 15
4.3. Twisted sigma-models 16
5. Connections and holomorphic structures 17
5.1. Connections on equivariant line bundles 17
5.2. Holomorphic structures 19
6. Twisted sigma-model solitons 19
6.1. Explicit solutions 20
References 22

Date: 13 July 2016.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative sigma-models, Self-duality equations, Noncommutative instantons, Noncommutative complex and holomorphic structures, Twisted cyclic and Hochschild cocycles, Twisted Hochschild positivity, Quantum projective line.

1. An introduction by way of a brief recalling

Noncommutative analogues of non-linear sigma-models — or noncommutative harmonic maps, or even minimally embedded surfaces — were introduced in [8, 9]. With a noncommutative *source* space one could also consider a *target* space made of two points. While the commutative theory would be trivial, the noncommutative counterpart becomes a theory of projections in (matrices with entries in) the source algebra, and shows remarkable and far from trivial properties. In particular a natural action functional led to self-duality equations for projections in the source algebra, with solutions having non-trivial topological content thus leading to noncommutative solitons.

An ordinary non-linear sigma-model is a field theory whose configuration space consists of maps X from the source space, a Riemannian manifold (Σ, g) which we take to be compact and orientable, to a target space, an other Riemannian manifold (M, G). One has an action functional — the energy of the maps — given in local coordinates by

$$S[X] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\; g^{\mu\nu}\, G_{ij}(X)\, \partial_\mu X^i\, \partial_\nu X^j\, \mathrm{d}x,$$

where $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$ and $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the inverse of $g_{\mu\nu}$; moreover $\mu, \nu = 1, \ldots, \dim \Sigma$, and $i, j = 1, \ldots, \dim M$. The stationary points of this action functional are harmonic maps from Σ to M and describe minimal surfaces embedded in M.

When Σ is two dimensional, the action functional $S[X]$ above is conformally invariant, that is to say it is left invariant by any rescaling of the metric $g \to g\, e^\phi$, with ϕ any map from Σ to $\mathbb{R}$. As a consequence, the action only depends on the conformal class of the metric and may be rewritten using a complex structure on Σ as

$$S[X] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Sigma G_{jk}(X)\, \partial X^j \wedge \overline{\partial} X^k,$$

where $\partial = \mathrm{d}z\, \partial_z$ and $\overline{\partial} = \mathrm{d}\overline{z}\, \partial_{\overline{z}}$, with z a suitable local complex coordinate.

The noncommutative generalization proposed in [8, 9] started with the $*$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ of complex valued smooth functions defined respectively on Σ and M. Embeddings X of Σ into M are then in one to one correspondence with $*$-algebra morphisms π from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{A}$, the correspondence being simply given by pullback, $\pi_X(f) = f \circ X$.

All of this makes sense for fixed not necessarily commutative algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ (over $\mathbb{C}$ and for simplicity taken to be unital). Then, the configuration space consists of all $*$-algebra morphisms from $\mathcal{B}$ (the target algebra) to $\mathcal{A}$ (the source algebra). To define an action functional one needs noncommutative generalizations of the conformal and Riemannian geometries. Following [3, Section VI.2], conformal structures can be understood within the framework of positive Hochschild cohomology. In the commutative case the tri-linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(f_0, f_1, f_2) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi} \int_\Sigma f_0 \partial f_1 \wedge \overline{\partial} f_2 \tag{1.1}$$

is an extremal element of the space of positive Hochschild cocycles [4] and belongs to the Hochschild cohomology class of the cyclic cocycle Ψ given by

$$\Psi(f_0, f_1, f_2) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi} \int_\Sigma f_0 \mathrm{d} f_1 \wedge \mathrm{d} f_2. \tag{1.2}$$

Clearly, both (1.1) and (1.2) still make perfectly sense for a general noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$. One can say that Ψ allows to integrate 2-forms in dimension 2,

$$\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int a_0\mathrm{d}a_1\mathrm{d}a_2 = \Psi(a_0, a_1, a_2)$$

so that it is a metric independent object, whereas Φ defines a suitable scalar product

$$\langle a_0\mathrm{d}a_1, b_0\mathrm{d}b_1\rangle = \Phi(b_0^*a_0, a_1, b_1^*)$$

on the space of 1-forms and thus depends on the conformal class of the metric. Furthermore, this scalar product is positive and its relation to the cyclic cocycle Ψ allows one to prove various inequalities involving topological quantities. In particular, in typical models one gets a topological lower bound for the action which is a two dimensional analogue of the inequality in four dimensional Yang-Mills theory leading to self-duality equations.

As mentioned, the simplest example of a target space is that of a finite space made of two points $M = \{1, 2\}$. Any continuous map from a connected surface to a discrete space is constant and the resulting (commutative) theory would be trivial. This is no longer true if the source is a noncommutative space and one has, in general, lots of such maps (i.e. algebra morphisms). Now, the algebra of functions over $M = \{1, 2\}$ is just $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^2$ and any element $f \in \mathcal{B}$ is a couple of complex numbers (f_1, f_2) with $f_a = f(a)$, the value of f at the point a. As a vector space $\mathcal{B}$ is generated by a hermitian idempotent (a projection), $e^2 = e^* = e$, that is the function defined by $e(1) = 1$ and $e(2) = 0$. Thus, any $*$-algebra morphism π from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is given by a projection $\mathsf{P} = \pi(e)$ in $\mathcal{A}$.

With a given Hochschild cocycle Φ standing for the conformal structure, the action functional on the collection of all projection in $\mathcal{A}$ can be taken to be

$$S[\mathsf{P}] = \Phi(1, \mathsf{P}, \mathsf{P}).$$

And as already mentioned, from general consideration of positivity in Hochschild cohomology this action is bounded by a topological term of the form

$$\mathrm{Top}(\mathsf{P}) = \Psi(\mathsf{P}, \mathsf{P}, \mathsf{P}),$$

for Ψ a cyclic cocycle which is Hochschild-cohomologous to the Hochschild cocycle Φ.

In the initial papers [8, 9] there were already models for the source algebra be the algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2_\theta)$ of the noncommutative torus. While the equations for the critical points were rather complicate, the use of the positivity and the bound by the topological term lead to self-duality equations for projections in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2_\theta)$, with solutions being topological non-trivial (thus eligible to be called noncommutative solitons, or noncommutative instantons). The construction relied on complex structures and the existence of holomorphic structures for projective modules over noncommutative spaces. The projections in the source algebra were coming from Morita equivalence bimodules. Some further generalization were given in [7] where several results from time-frequency analysis and Gabor analysis were used to construct new classes of sigma-model solitons over the Moyal plane and over noncommutative tori. And some related results are in [20]

In the present paper we go beyond the scheme above by considering a twisted Hochschild cocycle Φ_σ for the action functional, and a twisted cyclic cocycle Ψ_σ for the 'topological' term. This will still be Hochschild-cohomologous to the twisted Hochschild cocycle and from positivity in twisted Hochschild cohomology there is again a bound on the action. Now, the equations for the critical points will be even more complicate, but again the use of the positivity and the bound by the topological term will lead to self-duality equations for projections (thus leading to twisted noncommutative solitons, or instantons).

As we shall explain in details, for a projection $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_N(\mathcal{A})$, with $\mathcal{A}$ the 'source space' algebra, we shall have the action functional, the energy of the projection P,

$$S_\sigma(\mathsf{P}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,(\star \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}),$$

as well as a 'topological' term (the q-index of a Dirac operator)

$$\mathrm{Top}_\sigma(\mathsf{P}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}).$$

Here $\mathrm{tr_q}$ is a 'quantum' trace, $\star$ is a Hodge operator and h is a positive twisted state on $\mathcal{A}$ with modular automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$. Both functionals above are twisted by this modular automorphism. There is still a bound

$$S_\sigma(\mathsf{P}) \geq |\mathrm{Top}_\sigma(\mathsf{P})|$$

with equality occurring for the projector P satisfying equations

$$\star\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} = \pm\,\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}.$$

We shall exhibit explicit solutions of these self-dual or anti-self-dual equations while naming them noncommutative twisted solitons (or twisted sigma-model anti/instantons).

In particular, we present models for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ the coordinate algebra of the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ and $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_N(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$. Now h is the restriction to $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ of the Haar state of the quantum group $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$. All constructions rely on complex structures and the existence of holomorphic structures for projective modules over the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ as introduced and developed in [12]. The topological term is the q-index of a Dirac operator on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$, and the duality equations are also written as

$$\mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P} = 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \mathsf{P}\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} = 0,$$

for a complex structure ∂, $\overline{\partial}$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$. As mentioned, we shall give explicit solutions of these equations. The results of [12] on complex and holomorphic structures on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ were generalised in [13, 14] to the quantum projective spaces $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n_q$. These results when used for the projections on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n_q$ constructed in [6, 5] would lead to a direct, if computationally intricate, generalisation of the results of the present paper.

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was done during a visit at the Tohoku Forum for Creativity in Sendai. I am grateful to Yoshi Maeda for the kind invitation and to him, to Ursula Carow–Watamura and to Satoshi Watamura for the great hospitality in Sendai. Francesco D'Andrea read a first version of the paper and made useful suggestions.

2. The geometry of the quantum projective line

The quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ is the standard Podleś sphere S^2_q of [22] — the quotient of the sphere $\mathrm{S}^3_q \simeq \mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ for an action of the abelian group $\mathrm{U}(1)$ —, with additional structure. This is the well-known quantum principal $\mathrm{U}(1)$-bundle over S^2_q, whose total space is the manifold of the quantum group $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ as we now briefly recall. In the following we use the 'q-number', defined for $q \neq 1$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$[x] = [x]_q := \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

2.1. **The quantum sphere S^3_q.** The manifold S^3_q is though of as the manifold 'underlying' the quantum group $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$. The coordinate algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ of the latter [27] is the $*$-algebra generated by elements a and c subject to relations

$$a\,c = q\,c\,a \quad \text{and} \quad c^*\,a^* = q\,a^*\,c^* \;, \quad a\,c^* = q\,c^*\,a \quad \text{and} \quad c\,a^* = q\,a^*\,c \;,$$
$$c\,c^* = c^*\,c \quad \text{and} \quad a^*\,a + c^*\,c = a\,a^* + q^2\,c\,c^* = 1 \;.$$

The deformation parameter $q \in \mathbb{R}$ can be restricted to the interval $0 < q < 1$ without loss of generality. The well known Hopf algebra structure for $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$, in terms of a coproduct Δ, an antipode S and a counit ϵ is not explicitly needed in the following.

On the other hand, the algebra of symmetries, the quantum universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$, is the Hopf $*$-algebra generated, as an algebra, by four elements K, K^{-1}, E, F such that $K\,K^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1}\,K$ and with relations

$$K^{\pm 1}\,E = q^{\pm 1}\,E\,K^{\pm 1} \;, \quad K^{\pm 1}\,F = q^{\mp 1}\,F\,K^{\pm 1} \quad \text{and} \quad [E,F] = \frac{K^2 - K^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}} \;.$$

The $*$-structure is $K^* = K$, $E^* = F$ and $F^* = E$. And the Hopf algebra structure (used later on) is given by the coproduct Δ, the antipode S, and the counit ϵ defined by

$$\Delta(K^{\pm 1}) = K^{\pm 1} \otimes K^{\pm 1} \;, \quad \Delta(E) = E \otimes K + K^{-1} \otimes E \;, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes K + K^{-1} \otimes F \;,$$
$$S(K) = K^{-1} \;, \quad S(E) = -q\,E \;, \quad S(F) = -q^{-1}\,F \;,$$
$$\epsilon(K) = 1 \;, \quad \epsilon(E) = \epsilon(F) = 0 \;.$$

There is a bilinear pairing between $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ given on generators by

$$\langle K^{\pm 1}, a\rangle = q^{\mp 1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle K^{\pm 1}, a^*\rangle = q^{\mp 1/2} \;,$$
$$\langle E, c\rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle F, c^*\rangle = -q^{-1} \;,$$

with all other couples of generators pairing to zero. This pairing makes $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$ a subspace of the linear dual of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$. And it yields canonical left and right $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$-module algebra structures (actions) on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ such that [27]

$$\langle g, h{\triangleright}x\rangle := \langle g\,h, x\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle g, x{\triangleleft}h\rangle := \langle h\,g, x\rangle \,,$$

for all $g, h \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$, $x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$. The right and left actions are given by

$$h{\triangleright}x := x_{(1)}\,\big\langle h, x_{(2)}\big\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad x{\triangleleft}h := \big\langle h, x_{(1)}\big\rangle\,x_{(2)}$$

in the Sweedler notation for the coproduct. These right and left actions commute:

$$(h{\triangleright}x){\triangleleft}g = \big(x_{(1)}\,\big\langle h, x_{(2)}\big\rangle\big){\triangleleft}g = \big\langle g, x_{(1)}\big\rangle\,x_{(2)}\,\big\langle h, x_{(3)}\big\rangle = h{\triangleright}\big(\big\langle g, x_{(1)}\big\rangle\,x_{(2)}\big) = h{\triangleright}(x{\triangleleft}g) \;.$$

Since the pairing satisfies $\langle S(h)^*, x\rangle = \overline{\langle h, x^*\rangle}$, the $*$-structure is compatible with both actions, that is, for all $h \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$, $x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ it holds that

$$h{\triangleright}x^* = \big(S(h)^*{\triangleright}x\big)^* \quad \text{and} \quad x^*{\triangleleft}h = \big(x{\triangleleft}S(h)^*\big)^*$$

For later use we list the left action. With $s \in \mathbb{N}$ a positive integer, it is worked out to be:

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{\pm 1} \triangleright a^s &= q^{\mp \frac{s}{2}}\, a^s, & K^{\pm 1} \triangleright c^s &= q^{\mp \frac{s}{2}}\, c^s, \\
K^{\pm 1} \triangleright a^{*\,s} &= q^{\pm \frac{s}{2}}\, a^{*\,s}, & K^{\pm 1} \triangleright c^{*\,s} &= q^{\pm \frac{s}{2}}\, c^{*\,s}\ , \\
F \triangleright a^s &= 0, & F \triangleright c^s &= 0, \\
F \triangleright a^{*\,s} &= q^{(1-s)/2}\, [s]\, c\, a^{*\,s-1}\ , & F \triangleright c^{*\,s} &= -q^{-(1+s)/2}\, [s]\, a\, c^{*\,s-1}\ , \\
E \triangleright a^s &= -q^{(3-s)/2}\, [s]\, a^{s-1}\, c^*, & E \triangleright c^s &= q^{(1-s)/2}\, [s]\, c^{s-1}\, a^*, \\
E \triangleright a^{*\,s} &= 0\ , & E \triangleright c^{*\,s} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We also need the irreducible finite dimensional representations π_J of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$. They are labelled by nonnegative half-integers $J \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ (the spin) and given by (c.f. [15, Sect.3.2.3]).

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_J(K)\ |J,m\rangle &= q^m\ |J,m\rangle\,, \\
\pi_J(E)\ |J,m\rangle &= \sqrt{[J-m][J+m+1]}\ |J,m+1\rangle\,, \\
\pi_J(F)\ |J,m\rangle &= \sqrt{[J-m+1][J+m]}\ |J,m-1\rangle\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where the vectors $|J,m\rangle$, for $m = J, J-1, \ldots, -J+1, -J$, form an orthonormal basis for the $(2J+1)$-dimensional, irreducible $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$-module V_J. With respect to the hermitian scalar product on V_J for which the vectors $|J,m\rangle$ are orthonormal, π_J is a $*$-representation.

2.2. **The quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$.** Next we describe the well know U(1)-principal bundle over the sphere S^2_q, with total space $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, a quantum homogeneous space [1]. The abelian group $\mathrm{U}(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, z\, z^* = 1\}$ acts on the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$, with a map $\alpha : \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\left(\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))\right)$ defined on generators by

$$\alpha_z(a) = a\, z, \quad \alpha_z(a^*) = a^*\, z^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_z(c) = c\, z, \quad \alpha_z(c^*) = c^*\, z^*\ , \tag{2.3}$$

extended as an algebra map, $\alpha_z(x\,y) = \alpha_z(x)\,\alpha_z(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ and $z \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. The coordinate algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{S}^2_q)$ is then the subalgebra of *invariant* elements in $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{S}^2_q) := \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))^{\mathrm{U}(1)} := \left\{x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \;\middle|\; \alpha_z(x) = x\right\}\ .$$

As a set of generators for $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{S}^2_q)$ one may take

$$B_- := a\, c^*\ , \qquad B_+ := c\, a^* \quad \text{and} \quad B_0 := c\, c^*\ ,$$

for which one finds relations

$$\begin{aligned}
B_-\, B_0 &= q^2\, B_0\, B_- \quad \text{and} \quad B_+\, B_0 = q^{-2}\, B_0\, B_+\ , \\
B_-\, B_+ &= q^2\, B_0\left(1 - q^2\, B_0\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad B_+\, B_- = B_0\left(1 - B_0\right)\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

and $*$-structure $(B_0)^* = B_0$ and $(B_+)^* = B_-$. The algebra inclusion $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{S}^2_q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ is a quantum principal bundle [1] and can be endowed with compatible non universal calculi, a construction that we shall illustrate later on.

In §2.6 we will recall the complex structure on the quantum two-sphere S^2_q for the unique two-dimensional covariant calculus on it. This will transform the sphere S^2_q into a quantum riemannian sphere or quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$. Having this in mind, with a slight abuse of 'language' we will speak of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ rather than S^2_q from now on.

2.3. **Equivariant line bundles on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$.** Let $\rho : \mathrm{U}(1) \to V$ be a representation of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ on a finite-dimensional complex vector space V. The space defined by

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \boxtimes_\rho V := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \otimes V \,\middle|\, (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})\varphi = \big((\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho^{-1})\big)\varphi \right\} ,$$

where α is the action (2.3) of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$, is the space of ρ-equivariant elements, clearly an $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-bimodule. We shall think of it as the module of sections of the vector bundle associated with the quantum principal $\mathrm{U}(1)$-bundle on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ via the representation ρ. There is a natural $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$-equivariance, in that the left coaction Δ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ on itself extends in a natural way to a left coaction on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \boxtimes_\rho V$ given by

$$\Delta^\rho = \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id} \,:\, \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \boxtimes_\rho V \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \otimes \big(\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \boxtimes_\rho V\big) \,.$$

Now, the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ are labelled by an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $C_n \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is the irreducible one-dimensional left $\mathrm{U}(1)$-module of weight n, they are given by

$$\rho_n \,:\, \mathrm{U}(1) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{Aut}(C_n) \,, \qquad C_n \ni v \;\longmapsto\; z^n\, v \in C_n \,. \tag{2.4}$$

The spaces of ρ_n-equivariant elements yield a vector space decomposition [18, eq. (1.10)]

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_n \,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_n := \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \boxtimes_{\rho_n} \mathbb{C} \simeq \left\{ x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \;\middle|\; \alpha_z(x) = x\,(z^*)^n \right\} . \tag{2.5}$$

In particular $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$. One has $\mathcal{L}_n^* = \mathcal{L}_{-n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_n\,\mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{L}_{n+m}$. Each $\mathcal{L}_n$ is clearly a bimodule over $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$. It may be given as a finitely-generated projective right (and left) $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-module of rank one [25, Prop. 6.4], and one may think of it as giving sections of line bundles over $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$.

A dual presentation of the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_n$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \;\middle|\; K \triangleright x = q^{n/2}\, x \right\} . \tag{2.6}$$

uses the left action of the group-like element K on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$. Indeed, if H is the infinitesimal generator of the $\mathrm{U}(1)$-action α, the group-like element K can be written as $K = q^{-H/2}$. Then, from the left action (2.1) of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$ one finds

$$E \triangleright \mathcal{L}_n \;\subset\; \mathcal{L}_{n+2} \quad \text{and} \quad F \triangleright \mathcal{L}_n \;\subset\; \mathcal{L}_{n-2} \,.$$

On the other hand, commutativity of the left and right actions of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$ yields

$$\mathcal{L}_n \triangleleft h \subset \mathcal{L}_n$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$. More details on these modules will be given later on. For the moment we just mention the following results (cfr. [12, Prop. 3.1]): The natural map $\mathcal{L}_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_m \to \mathcal{L}_{n+m}$ defined by multiplication induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-bimodules

$$\mathcal{L}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)} \mathcal{L}_m \simeq \mathcal{L}_{n+m} \,, \tag{2.7}$$

and in particular $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)}(\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{L}_n) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{n-m}$. Moreover, from the transformations in (2.3), it follows that an $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-module generating set for $\mathcal{L}_n$ is given by elements

$$(\Psi_{(n)})_\mu = \begin{cases} a^{*\,\mu}\, c^{*\,n-\mu} & \text{for} \quad n \geq 0 \,, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, n \,, \\ a^{|n|-\mu}\, c^\mu & \text{for} \quad n \leq 0 \,, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, |n| \,. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Then one writes equivariant elements as

$$\varphi_f = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n} a^{*\,\mu}\, c^{*\,n-\mu}\, f_\mu = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n} \tilde{f}_\mu\, a^{*\,\mu}\, c^{*\,n-\mu} & \text{for} \quad n \geq 0 \ , \\ \sum_{\mu=0}^{|n|} a^{|n|-\mu}\, c^{\mu}\, f_\mu = \sum_{\mu=0}^{|n|} \tilde{f}_\mu\, a^{|n|-\mu}\, c^{\mu} & \text{for} \quad n \leq 0 \ , \end{cases}$$

with f_μ and $\tilde{f}_\mu$ generic elements in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$. The bimodules $\mathcal{L}_n$ are not free modules, thus the elements in (6.4) are not independent over $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$.

A generic finite-dimensional representation (V, ρ) for $\mathrm{U}(1)$ has a weight decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \in W(V)} C_n \otimes V_n \ , \qquad \rho = \bigoplus_{n \in W(V)} \rho_n \otimes \mathrm{id} \ .$$

Here (C_n, ρ_n) is the one-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ with weight $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ given in (2.4), the spaces $V_n = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}(1)}(C_n, V)$ are the multiplicity spaces, and the set $W(V) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid V_n \neq 0\}$ is the set of weights of V. For the corresponding space of ρ-equivariant elements we have a corresponding decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \boxtimes_\rho V = \bigoplus_{n \in W(V)} \mathcal{L}_n \otimes V_n \ ,$$

with $\mathcal{L}_n$ the irreducible modules in (2.5) giving sections of line bundles over $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$.

2.4. **Covariant calculi.** The principal bundle $(\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)), \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q), \mathrm{U}(1))$ is endowed with compatible non-universal calculi obtained from the three-dimensional left-covariant calculus on the quantum group which we present first. We then describe the unique left-covariant two-dimensional calculus on the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ obtained by restriction, and also the projected calculus on the structure group $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ can be canonically decomposed into a holomorphic and an anti-holomorphic

2.5. **Left-covariant forms on S^3_q.** The three-dimensional left-covariant calculus on the quantum group $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ has quantum tangent space generated by three elements [27]:

$$X_z = \frac{1 - K^4}{1 - q^{-2}} \ , \qquad X_- = q^{-1/2}\, F\, K \quad \text{and} \quad X_+ = q^{1/2}\, E\, K = X_-^* \ .$$

Their coproducts and antipodes are easily found to be

$$\Delta(X_z) = 1 \otimes X_z + X_z \otimes K^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(X_\pm) = 1 \otimes X_\pm + X_\pm \otimes K^2 \ , \tag{2.9}$$

$$S(X_z) = -X_z\, K^{-4} \quad \text{and} \quad S(X_\pm) = -X_\pm\, K^{-2} \ . \tag{2.10}$$

The dual space of one-forms $\Omega^1(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ has a basis

$$\omega_z = a^*\ \mathrm{d}a + c^*\ \mathrm{d}c \ , \qquad \omega_- = c^*\ \mathrm{d}a^* - q\, a^*\ \mathrm{d}c^* \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_+ = a\ \mathrm{d}c - q\, c\ \mathrm{d}a$$

of left-invariant forms. The differential $\mathrm{d} : \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \to \Omega^1(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d}f = (X_- \triangleright f)\, \omega_- + (X_+ \triangleright f)\, \omega_+ + (X_z \triangleright f)\, \omega_z \tag{2.11}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$. If $\Delta^{(1)}$ is the (left) coaction of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ on itself extended to forms, the left-coinvariance of the basis forms is the statement that $\Delta^{(1)}(\omega_s) = 1 \otimes \omega_s$, while the left-covariance of the calculus is stated as

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta^{(1)} = \left(\Delta^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \circ \Delta^{(1)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta^{(1)} = 1 \ .$$

The requirement that it is a $*$-calculus, i.e. $\mathrm{d}(f^*) = (\mathrm{d}f)^*$, yields

$$\omega_-^* = -\omega_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_z^* = -\omega_z \ .$$

The bimodule structure is given by

$$\omega_z\, a = q^{-2}\, a\, \omega_z\ , \quad \omega_z\, a^* = q^2\, a^*\, \omega_z\ , \quad \omega_\pm\, a = q^{-1}\, a\, \omega_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\pm\, a^* = q\, a^*\, \omega_\pm\ ,$$

$$\omega_z\, c = q^{-2}\, c\, \omega_z\ , \quad \omega_z\, c^* = q^2\, c^*\, \omega_z\ , \quad \omega_\pm\, c = q^{-1}\, c\, \omega_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\pm\, c^* = q\, c^*\, \omega_\pm\ . \tag{2.12}$$

Higher degree forms can be defined in a natural way by requiring compatibility with the commutation relations (the bimodule structure (2.12)) and that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$. One has

$$\mathrm{d}\omega_z = -\omega_- \wedge \omega_+\ , \qquad \mathrm{d}\omega_\pm = \pm q^{\pm 2}\left(1+q^2\right)\omega_z \wedge \omega_\pm, \tag{2.13}$$

together with the commutation relations

$$\omega_+ \wedge \omega_+ = \omega_- \wedge \omega_- = \omega_z \wedge \omega_z = 0\ ,$$

$$\omega_- \wedge \omega_+ + q^{-2}\,\omega_+ \wedge \omega_- = 0\ , \qquad \omega_z \wedge \omega_\pm + q^{\mp 4}\,\omega_\pm \wedge \omega_z = 0\ . \tag{2.14}$$

Finally, there is a unique top form $\omega_- \wedge \omega_+ \wedge \omega_z$ commuting will all elements in $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$.

2.6. **Holomorphic forms on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$.** Restricting the three-dimensional calculus of §2.5 to the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ yields the unique left-covariant two-dimensional calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ [23]. The 'cotangent bundle' $\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ is shown to be isomorphic to the direct sum $\mathcal{L}_{-2} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{+2}$ of the line bundles with degree ± 2.

Since the element K acts (on the left) as the identity on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, the differential (2.11) when restricted to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ becomes

$$\mathrm{d}f = (X_- \triangleright f)\,\omega_- + (X_+ \triangleright f)\,\omega_+ = q^{-1/2}(F \triangleright f)\,\omega_- + q^{1/2}(E \triangleright f)\,\omega_+$$

for $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$. This leads to a decomposition of the exterior differential into a holomorphic and an anti-holomorphic part, $\mathrm{d} = \overline{\partial} + \partial$, with

$$\overline{\partial} f = (X_- \triangleright f)\ \omega_- \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial f = (X_+ \triangleright f)\ \omega_+ \tag{2.15}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$. The calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ has then quantum tangent space generated by the two elements X_+ and X_-. Moreover, the two differentials ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ satisfy

$$(\partial f)^* = \overline{\partial} f^*. \tag{2.16}$$

Indeed, using the second relation in (2.10) and compatibility of the $*$-structure with the left action, by direct computation:

$$(\partial f)^* = -\omega_-(X_+ \triangleright f)^* = -\omega_-(S(X_+)^* \triangleright f^* = \omega_-(K^{-2}X_- \triangleright f^*) = (X_- \triangleright f^*)\omega_- = \overline{\partial} f^*,$$

This also shows that

$$(X_+ \triangleright f)^* = -q^2 X_- \triangleright f^* \quad \text{and} \quad (X_- \triangleright f)^* = -q^{-2} X_+ \triangleright f^* \tag{2.17}$$

a result we need later on. An explicit computation on the generators of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ yields

$$\overline{\partial} B_- = -q^{-1}\, a^2\, \omega_-\ , \qquad \overline{\partial} B_0 = -q^{-1}\, c\, a\, \omega_- \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\partial} B_+ = c^2\, \omega_-\ ,$$

$$\partial B_+ = q\, {a^*}^2\, \omega_+\ , \qquad \partial B_0 = c^*\, a^*\, \omega_+ \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial B_- = -q^2\, {c^*}^2\, \omega_+\ .$$

And it follows that the module of one-forms decomposes as

$$\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) = \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \oplus \Omega^{1,0}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)\ ,$$

where $\Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{-2}\omega_- \simeq \overline{\partial}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ is the $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-bimodule generated by

$$\left\{\,\overline{\partial} B_-\,,\,\overline{\partial} B_0\,,\,\overline{\partial} B_+\right\} = \left\{a^2\,,\,c\,a\,,\,c^2\right\}\omega_- = q^2\,\omega_-\left\{a^2\,,\,c\,a\,,\,c^2\right\}$$

and $\Omega^{1,0}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{+2}\omega_+ \simeq \partial(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ is the $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-bimodule generated by

$$\left\{\partial B_+\,,\,\partial B_0\,,\,\partial B_-\right\} = \left\{a^{*\,2}\,,\,c^*\,a^*\,,\,c^{*\,2}\right\}\omega_+ = q^{-2}\,\omega_+\left\{a^{*\,2}\,,\,c^*\,a^*\,,\,c^{*\,2}\right\}\,.$$

These modules of forms are not free and there are relations among the differentials:

$$\partial B_0 - q^{-2}\,B_-\,\partial B_+ + q^2\,B_+\,\partial B_- = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\partial} B_0 - B_+\,\overline{\partial} B_- + q^{-4}\,B_-\,\overline{\partial} B_+ = 0\,.$$

The two-dimensional calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ has a unique (up to scale) top invariant form β, which is central, $\beta\,f = f\,\beta$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, and $\Omega^2(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ is the free $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-bimodule generated by β. Both $\omega_\pm$ commute with elements of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ and so does $\omega_- \wedge \omega_+$, which may be taken as the generator $\beta = \omega_- \wedge \omega_+$ of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ (cfr. [17] or [24, App.]). As a model for a more general construction, we may summarise these results as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *The two-dimensional covariant differential calculus on the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ is given by*

$$\Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \,\oplus\, (\mathcal{L}_{-2}\omega_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_{+2}\omega_+) \,\oplus\, \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)\omega_- \wedge \omega_+\,.$$

Moreover, the splitting $\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) = \Omega^{1,0}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, together with the two maps ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ given above, constitute a complex structure for the differential calculus.

Writing any one-form as $\alpha = x\,\omega_- + y\,\omega_+ \in \mathcal{L}_{-2}\omega_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_{+2}\omega_+$, the product is given by

$$(x\,\omega_- + y\,\omega_+) \wedge (t\,\omega_- + z\,\omega_+) = \left(x\,z - q^2\,y\,t\right)\omega_- \wedge \omega_+\,.$$

By (2.13) it is natural (and consistent) to demand $\mathrm{d}\omega_- = \mathrm{d}\omega_+ = 0$ when restricted to $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$. Since K acts as $q^{\pm 1}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{\pm 2}$, the exterior derivative of a one-form is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\alpha = \mathrm{d}(x\,\omega_- + y\,\omega_+) &= \partial x \wedge \omega_- + \overline{\partial} y \wedge \omega_+ \\ &= \left(X_-\triangleright y - q^2\,X_+\triangleright x\right)\omega_- \wedge \omega_+\,,\end{aligned}$$

Notice that in this expression, both $X_+\triangleright x$ and $X_-\triangleright y$ belong to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, as they should.

Finally, a Hodge operator at the level of one-forms is constructed in [17] via a left-covariant map $\star : \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \to \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ which squares to the identity id. In the description of the calculus as given in Proposition 2.1, it is defined for all $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, by

$$\star\,(\partial f) = \partial f \quad \text{and} \quad \star\,(\overline{\partial} f) = -\overline{\partial} f. \tag{2.18}$$

One shows its compatibility with the bimodule structure, i.e. the map $\star$ is a bimodule map. Thus $\star$ has values ± 1 on holomorphic or anti-holomorphic one-forms respectively. In particular, $\star\omega_\pm = \pm\,\omega_\pm$. The Hodge operator is naturally extended by requiring

$$\star 1 = \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \star\,(\omega_- \wedge \omega_+) = 1\,,$$

although this extension will not be needed in the present paper.

For completeness, we finally mention the calculus on U(1) which makes all three calculi compatible from the quantum principal bundle point of view. One finds [1] that the calculus is one-dimensional and bicovariant with quantum tangent space generated by

$$X = X_z = \frac{1 - K^4}{1 - q^{-2}}, \tag{2.19}$$

with dual one-form $\omega_z = z^*\mathrm{d}z$ and commutation relations

$$\omega_z\,z = q^{-2}\,z\,\omega_z\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_z\,z^* = q^2\,z^*\,\omega_z\,.$$

3. Positive cocycles and twisted conformal structures

In [3, Section VI.2] it is shown that positive Hochschild cocycles on the algebra of smooth functions on a compact oriented 2-dimensional manifold encode the information needed to define a complex structure on the surface. The relevant positive cocycle is in the same Hochschild cohomology class of the cyclic cocycle giving the fundamental class of the manifold. This result suggests regarding positive cyclic and Hochschild cocycles as a starting point in defining complex noncommutative structures.

3.1. **Twisted positive Hochschild cocycles.** On the quantum projective line there is no non-trivial 2-dimensional cyclic cocycles [19]. In [12] we considered twisted Hochschild and cyclic cocycles and gave a notion of *twisted positivity* for Hochschild cocycles. We exhibited an example for the complex structure on the quantum projective line.

A Hochschild $2n$-cocycle φ on an $*$-algebra A is called *positive* [4] if the pairing

$$\langle a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n,\, b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n \rangle = \varphi(b_0^* a_0, a_1, \cdots a_n, b_n^*, \cdots, b_1^*),$$

defines a positive sesquilinear form on the vector spaces $A^{\otimes(n+1)}$. For $n = 0$ this is a positive trace on an $*$-algebra. Given a differential graded $*$-algebra $(\Omega A, \mathrm{d})$ on A, a Hochschild $2n$-cocycle on A defines a sesquilinear pairing on the space $\Omega^n A$ of n-forms (typically middle-degree ones). For $\omega = a_0 \mathrm{d}a_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}a_n$ and $\eta = b_0 \mathrm{d}b_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}b_n$ one defines

$$\langle \omega,\, \eta \rangle := \varphi(b_0^* a_0, a_1, \cdots a_n, b_n^*, \cdots, b_1^*),$$

and extended by linearity. One has that $\langle a\omega,\, \eta \rangle = \langle \omega,\, a^* \eta \rangle$ for all $a \in A$. Positivity of φ is equivalent to positivity of this sesquilinear form on $\Omega^n A$.

Next, let A be an algebra and $\sigma : A \to A$ be an automorphism of A. For $n \geq 0$, let $C^n(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A^{\otimes(n+1)}, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of $(n+1)$-linear functionals (the n-cochains) on A. Define the twisted cyclic operator $\lambda_\sigma : C^n(A) \to C^n(A)$ by

$$(\lambda_\sigma \varphi)(a_0, \cdots, a_n) := (-1)^n \varphi(\sigma(a_n), a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}).$$

Clearly, $(\lambda_\sigma^{n+1}\varphi)(a_0, \cdots, a_n) = \varphi(\sigma(a_0), \cdots, \sigma(a_n))$. Let

$$C^n_\sigma(A) = \ker\big((1 - \lambda_\sigma^{n+1}) : C^n(A) \longrightarrow C^n(A)\big)$$

denote the space of *twisted Hochschild n-cochains* on A. Define the *twisted Hochschild coboundary* operator $b_\sigma : C^n_\sigma(A) \to C^{n+1}_\sigma(A)$ and the operator $b'_\sigma : C^n_\sigma(A) \to C^{n+1}_\sigma(A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b_\sigma \varphi(a_0, \cdots, a_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \varphi(a_0, \cdots, a_i a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n+1}) \\ &\qquad + (-1)^{n+1} \varphi(\sigma(a_{n+1}) a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}), \\ b'_\sigma \varphi(a_0, \cdots, a_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \varphi(a_0, \cdots, a_i a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then b_σ sends twisted cochains to twisted cochains. The cohomology of the complex $(C^*_\sigma(A), b_\sigma)$ is the *twisted Hochschild cohomology* of A. The n-cochain $\varphi \in C^n(A)$ is called *twisted cyclic* if $(1 - \lambda_\sigma)\varphi = 0$, or, equivalently

$$\varphi(\sigma(a_n), a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1}) = (-1)^n \varphi(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n),$$

$a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ elements in A. Denote the space of cyclic n-cochains by $C^n_{\lambda,\sigma}(A)$. Obviously $C^n_{\lambda,\sigma}(A) \subset C^n_\sigma(A)$. The relation $(1 - \lambda_\sigma) b_\sigma = b'_\sigma (1 - \lambda_\sigma)$ shows that the operator b_σ preserves the space of cyclic cochains and one gets the twisted cyclic complex of the pair

(A,σ), denoted $(C^n_{\lambda_\sigma}(A), b_\sigma)$. The cohomology of the twisted cyclic complex, denoted $\mathrm{HC}^\bullet_\sigma(A)$, is the *twisted cyclic cohomology* of A. The following was given in [12].

Definition 3.1. *A twisted Hochschild $2n$-cocycle φ on an $*$-algebra A is called twisted positive if the pairing:*

$$\langle a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n,\, b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n \rangle := \varphi(\sigma(b_0^*)a_0, a_1, \cdots a_n, b_n^*, \cdots, b_1^*).$$

defines a positive sesquilinear form on the vector space $A^{\otimes(n+1)}$.

For $n = 0$ this is a twisted positive trace on an $*$-algebra. Note that we do not require φ to be a twisted cyclic cocycle, only a Hochschild one.

3.2. **A cocycle for the quantum projective line.** Let us go back to the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$. Let $h : \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the normalized positive Haar state of $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$. It is a positive twisted trace,

$$h(xy) = h(\sigma(y)x), \qquad \text{for} \quad x, y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)),$$

with (modular) automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ given by

$$\sigma(x) = K^2 \triangleright x \triangleleft K^2$$

(cf. [15, Prop. 4.15]). When restricted to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, this induces the automorphism

$$\sigma : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q), \qquad \sigma(x) = x \triangleleft K^2, \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q). \tag{3.1}$$

Bi-invariance on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ becomes right-invariance for $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$ acting on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$:

$$h(x \triangleleft v) = \varepsilon(v)h(x), \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q),\ v \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2)).$$

With $\omega_- \wedge \omega_+$ the central generator of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, h the Haar state on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, and σ its modular automorphism (3.1), the linear functional

$$\int_h : \ \Omega^2(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \int_h a\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ := h(a),$$

defines [24] a non-trivial twisted cyclic 2-cocycle τ on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ by

$$\tau(a_0, a_1, a_2) := \frac{1}{2} \int_h a_0\, \mathrm{d}a_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}a_2.$$

The non-triviality means that there is no twisted cyclic 1-cochain α on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ such that $b_\sigma \alpha = \tau$ and $\lambda_\sigma \alpha = \alpha$. Thus τ is a non-trivial class in $\mathrm{HC}^2_\sigma(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ it holds that:*

$$\int_h a_0(\partial a_1 \overline{\partial} a_2)a_3 = \int_h \sigma(a_3)a_0 \partial a_1 \overline{\partial} a_2.$$

Proof. Write $\partial a_1 \overline{\partial} a_2 = y\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+$, for some $y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$. Using the fact that $\omega_- \wedge \omega_+$ commutes with elements in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_h a_0(\partial a_1 \overline{\partial} a_2)a_3 - \int_h \sigma(a_3)a_0 \partial a_1 \overline{\partial} a_2 &= \int_h a_0 y\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ a_3 - \int_h \sigma(a_3)a_0 y\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ \\
&= \int_h a_0 y a_3\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ - \int_h \sigma(a_3)a_0 y\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ \\
&= h(a_0 y a_3) - h(\sigma(a_3)a_0 y) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

from the twisted property of the Haar state. □

Proposition 3.3. *The cochain $\varphi \in C^2(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ defined by*

$$\varphi(a_0, a_1, a_2) = \int_h a_0\, \partial a_1\, \overline{\partial} a_2$$

is a twisted Hochschild 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$, that is to say $b_\sigma \varphi = 0$ and $\lambda^3_\sigma \varphi = \varphi$; it is also positive, with positivity expressed as:

$$\int_h a_0\, \partial a_1 (a_0\, \partial a_1)^* \geq 0, \qquad \textit{for all} \quad a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q).$$

Proof. Here we only show explicitly the twisted positivity for later use while referring to [12] for the full proof. Now, the hermitian scalar product on $\Omega^{(1,0)}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$,

$$\langle a_0 \partial a_1, b^0 \partial b^1 \rangle := \varphi(\sigma(b_0^*) a_0, a_1, b_1^*) = \int_h \sigma(b_0^*) a_0\, \partial a_1\, \overline{\partial} b_1^*,$$

determines a positive sesquilinear form if for all $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ it holds that

$$\int_h \sigma(a_0^*) a_0 \partial a_1\, \overline{\partial} a_1^* = \int_h a_0\, \partial a_1 (a_0\, \partial a_1)^* \geq 0.$$

The first equality follows again from Lemma 3.2. Indeed,

$$\int_h a_0 \partial a_1 (a_0 \partial a_1)^* = \int_h a_0 \partial a_1 (\partial a_1)^* a_0^* = \int_h \sigma(a_0^*) a_0 \partial a_1 \overline{\partial} a_1^*.$$

Then, if $\partial a_1 = y\omega_+$ it follows that $\overline{\partial} a_1^* = (\partial a_1)^* = -\omega_- y^*$ from (2.16). In turn,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_h \sigma(a_0^*) a_0\, \partial a_1\, \overline{\partial} a_1^* &= -\int_h \sigma(a_0^*) a_0\, y\, \omega_+ \wedge \omega_- y^* = q^2 \int_h \sigma(a_0^*) a_0\, y\, y^*\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ \\ &= q^2 h(\sigma(a_0^*) a_0\, yy^*) = q^2 h(a_0 y y^* (a_0)^*) = q^2 h(a_0 y (a_0 y)^*) \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

the positivity being then evident from the positivity of the state h. □

In [12] it was also shown that the twisted Hochschild cocycles τ and φ are cohomologous: $\varphi - \tau = b_\sigma \psi$, for the twisted Hochschild 1-cochain ψ on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ given by

$$\psi(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \int_h a\, \partial \overline{\partial}(b).$$

Notice that the cocycle φ is not twisted cyclic, only a twisted Hochschild one. In fact, a trivial one: the twisted Hochschild cohomology of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ is trivial for the for modular automorphism σ [10].

3.3. **Couplings to bundles.** To couple the twisted cyclic cocycle τ (and the twisted Hochschild cocycle φ) with bundles over $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$, one needs a twisted Chern character. For the present paper it is enough to consider the lowest term, that of a twisted or 'quantum trace' [26]. Looking at $\sigma(x) = x \triangleleft K^2$ in (3.1), if $M \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$, its (partial) quantum trace is the element $\mathrm{tr_q}(M) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ given by

$$\mathrm{tr_q}(M) := \mathrm{tr}\left(M \pi_{n/2}(K^2)\right) := \sum\nolimits_{jl} M_{jl} \left(\pi_{n/2}(K^2)\right)_{lj},$$

where $\pi_{n/2}(K^2)$ is the matrix from (2.2) for the spin $J = n/2$ representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$. Notice that since the representation matrix $\pi_{n/2}(K^2)$ is diagonal and positive, as it happens for the usual trace, for M a positive matrix $\mathrm{tr_q}(M) = 0$ implies $M = 0$, a fact we

shall use later on. The q-trace is 'twisted' by the automorphism σ, that is

$$\mathrm{tr_q}(M_1M_2) = \mathrm{tr_q}\left((M_2 \triangleleft K^2)M_1\right) = \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\sigma(M_2)M_1\right).$$

Indeed, with 'right crossed product' rules, that is $xh = h_{(1)}\,(x \triangleleft h_{(2)})$, for $x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ and $h \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$ with Sweedler notation, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr_q}(M_1M_2) &= \mathrm{tr}\left(M_1M_2\pi_{n/2}(K^2)\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left(M_1\pi_{n/2}(K^2)(M_2 \triangleleft K^2)\right)\\ &= \mathrm{tr}\left((M_2 \triangleleft K^2)M_1\pi_{n/2}(K^2)\right) = \mathrm{tr_q}\left((M_2 \triangleleft K^2)M_1\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then, if $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ is a projection, its pairing with the twisted cyclic cocycle τ via the twisted trace,

$$\big(\tau \circ \mathrm{tr_q}\big)(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{P},\mathsf{P}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}), \tag{3.2}$$

results into a q-integer that is obtained [21, 26] as the q-index of the the Dirac operator on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$, i.e. as the difference between the quantum dimensions of its kernel and cokernel computed using $\mathrm{tr_q}$. The quantity (3.2) may be regarded as a quantum Fredholm index computed from the pairing between the σ-twisted cyclic cohomology and the (Hopf algebraic) $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathrm{su}(2))$-equivariant K-theory of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$.

On the other hand, the pairing of P with the twisted Hochschild cocycle φ,

$$\big(\varphi \circ \mathrm{tr_q}\big)(\mathsf{P},\mathsf{P},\mathsf{P}) = \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P}\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}) \tag{3.3}$$

is still positive from Proposition 3.3, with positivity expressed now as:

$$\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P}\,(\mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P})^*\right) \geq 0.$$

Much structure will emerge by comparing the q-index in (3.2) with the positive quantity in (3.3) as we show in the next section.

4. The action functional and the lower bound

We arrive to a natural energy functional for a projection $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ when comparing the q-index in (3.2) with the positive quantity in (3.3). The construction is valid for any algebra with a 'twisted positive geometry' as we shall indicate.

4.1. **Sigma-models on the quantum projective line.** As a working model, we start with the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ with the details of its geometry as described before.

Proposition 4.1. *For any projection* $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ *it holds that*

$$\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\mathsf{P}\,(\star\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}\right) + \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}\right) = 2\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P}\,(\mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P})^*\right) \tag{4.1}$$

as well as

$$\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\mathsf{P}\,(\star\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}\right) - \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}\right) = -2\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\mathsf{P}\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}\,(\mathsf{P}\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P})^*\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Since entries of P are in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ they are invariant by the left action of K and commute with both one-forms $\omega_\pm$. Then, using $\mathsf{P}^2 = \mathsf{P}$ and Leibniz rule for $X_\pm$ one has:

$$\mathsf{P}\partial\mathsf{P} \wedge (\mathsf{P}\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P})^* = \mathsf{P}(\partial\mathsf{P} \wedge \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P})\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{P}(\partial\mathsf{P} \wedge \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}), \tag{4.3}$$

as well as

$$\mathsf{P}\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} \wedge (\mathsf{P}\partial\mathsf{P})^* = \mathsf{P}(\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} \wedge \partial\mathsf{P})\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{P}(\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} \wedge \partial\mathsf{P}). \tag{4.4}$$

Next,

$$\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{P}\,(\partial\mathsf{P} \wedge \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} + \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} \wedge \partial\mathsf{P}), \tag{4.5}$$

while, using also (2.18),

$$\mathsf{P}\,(\star\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{P}\,(\partial\mathsf{P} \wedge \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} - \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} \wedge \partial\mathsf{P}). \tag{4.6}$$

Then, a comparison of (4.5) and (4.6) with (4.3) yields (4.1), while comparing them with (4.4) yields (4.2). □

Proposition 4.2. *For any projection* $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ *it holds that*

$$\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,(\star\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \geq \left| \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \right| \tag{4.7}$$

with equality when

$$\star\,\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} = \pm\,\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. In the expressions (4.1) and (4.2) the right hand side is always greater or equal than zero. Then, if $\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \geq 0$ the first statement follows from (4.2). On the other hand, if $\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \leq 0$ the statement follows from (4.1). Indeed, the left hand sides of (4.2) and (4.1) above are

$$\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\star\,\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \pm \mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}\right) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}$$

and, since the integral $\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}$ is non-degenerate, equality in (4.7) is equivalent to one of the two equations in (4.8) to be satisfied. □

A further look at (4.2) and (4.1) also shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \star\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} = -\,\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \qquad &\Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P} = 0, \\ \text{and} \qquad \star\,\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} = +\,\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \qquad &\Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mathsf{P}\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

And, it is worth noticing that from the equality (4.5) it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) &= \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\,\mathsf{P}\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} \wedge \partial\mathsf{P} \leq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P} = 0 \\ \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) &= \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\,\mathsf{P}\,\partial\mathsf{P} \wedge \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} \geq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \mathsf{P}\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

4.2. **The twisted action functional.** The quantity on the left hand side of (4.7) is positive and qualifies as the 'energy' of the projection P. We have then the following:

Definition 4.3. *On the configuration space made of all projections* $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_N(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ *we consider the* $\mathbb{R}^+$*-valued action-functional*

$$S_\sigma[\mathsf{P}] := \frac{1}{2} \int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\ \mathsf{P}\,(\star\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}. \tag{4.11}$$

We shall not write explicitly the rather complicate equations for the critical points. On the other hand, from the consideration above, a class of critical points is obtained when the projections P satisfies one of the two equations in (4.8) or (4.9). Solutions of these *self-dual/anti-self-dual* equations will be named (*twisted*) *sigma-model instantons* or *anti-instantons*, respectively. When this is the case, from the relation (4.7), the action functional equals the absolute value of a 'topological' quantity, given by

$$\mathrm{Top}_\sigma(\mathsf{P}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}), \tag{4.12}$$

in parallel with the case for noncommutative tori and Moyal plane of [8, 9] and [7].

We shall end this part by giving details for explicit expression to be used later on when looking for solutions. First, a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\mathsf{P}\wedge\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P} &= -(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+\\ &= q^{-2}(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+ = q^2(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

using (2.17), and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}\wedge\partial\mathsf{P} &= q^2(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+\\ &= -(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+ = -q^4(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Then, using both (4.13) and (4.14), one computes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} &= \mathsf{P}\,(\partial\mathsf{P}\wedge\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}+\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}\wedge\partial\mathsf{P})\\ &= \mathsf{P}\,\left[q^{-2}\,(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^* - (X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})\right]\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+,\end{aligned}$$

as well as, using also (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{P}\,(\star\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P})\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathsf{P} &= \mathsf{P}\,(\partial\mathsf{P}\wedge\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}-\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}\wedge\partial\mathsf{P})\\ &= \mathsf{P}\,\left[q^{-2}\,(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^* + (X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})\right]\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+.\end{aligned}$$

All these computations yield for the action-functional:

$$\begin{aligned}S_\sigma[\mathsf{P}] &= \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\;\mathsf{P}\,\left[q^{-2}\mathsf{P}\,(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^* + (X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})\right]\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\;\mathsf{P}\,\left[q^{-2}\mathsf{P}\,(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^* + q^4(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*\right]\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Whereas for the topological term:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Top}_\sigma(\mathsf{P}) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathsf{P}\,\left[q^{-2}\,(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^* - (X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})\right]\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}\;\mathsf{P}\,\left[q^{-2}\mathsf{P}\,(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P})^* - q^4(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})(X_-\triangleright\mathsf{P})^*\right]\,\omega_-\wedge\omega_+.\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

4.3. **Twisted sigma-models.** Much of what is written in the previous section does not depend on the details of the geometry of the quantum projective line. We shall try and extracts the minimal requirements for a generalisation.

One starts with an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with a complex structure, that is a breaking of a two-dimensional differential calculus as in Proposition 2.1:

$$\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}\,\oplus\,\left(\Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{A})\oplus\Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{A})\right)\,\oplus\,\mathcal{A}\,\beta\ ,$$

with a top central two-form β so that $\Omega^{1,1}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$. The differential is decomposed as $\mathrm{d} = \partial + \overline{\partial}$ with $\partial^2 = \overline{\partial}^2 = \partial \circ \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \circ \partial = 0$ (so that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$), with holomorphic forms $\Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \partial(\mathcal{A})$ and anti-holomorphic forms $\Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \overline{\partial}(\mathcal{A})$.

There is a Hodge operator on one-forms defined by requiring that

$$\star(\partial f) = \partial f \quad \text{and} \quad \star\,(\overline{\partial} f) = -\overline{\partial} f$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and extended as a bimodule map. Thus $\star$ has values ± 1 on holomorphic or anti-holomorphic one-forms respectively (squaring to the identity).

Next, there is a normalized positive state $h : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$, which is twisted, that is

$$h(xy) = h(\sigma(y)x), \qquad \text{for} \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A},$$

for a modular automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and such that one can construct a non-trivial twisted cyclic 2-cocycle τ on $\mathcal{A}$. This is obtained via a linear functional

$$\int_h : \ \Omega^2(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \int_h a\,\beta := h(a),$$

by considering

$$\tau(a_0, a_1, a_2) := \frac{1}{2} \int_h a_0\, \mathrm{d}a_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}a_2.$$

This twisted cyclic cocycle τ is required to be Hochschild-cohomologous to a positive twisted Hochschild 2-cocycle φ on $\mathcal{A}$ defined, by using the complex structure, as

$$\varphi(a_0, a_1, a_2) = \int_h a_0\, \partial a_1\, \overline{\partial} a_2.$$

Finally, one needs a a quantum trace, that is a map $\mathrm{tr_q} : \mathrm{Mat}_N(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ which is twisted by the automorphism σ, that is

$$\mathrm{tr_q}(M_1 M_2) = \mathrm{tr_q}\left(\sigma(M_2) M_1\right).$$

With all this ingredients, for a projection all projections $\mathsf{P} \in \mathrm{Mat}_N(\mathcal{A})$, both action-functional $S_\sigma[\mathsf{P}]$ in (4.11) and the topological term $\mathrm{Top}_\sigma(\mathsf{P})$ in (4.12) make sense. Also, both Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 are valid and one still has self-duality equations as in (4.8).

5. Connections and holomorphic structures

Before we analyse solutions of the self-duality equations (4.8) or (4.9) we need to recall additional ingredients of the geometry of the quantum-projective line.

Firstly, the calculus $\Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ is lifted to a connection on the quantum principal bundle over $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$. It leads to a natural holomorphic structure in the sense that the corresponding covariant derivative is decomposed into a holomorphic and an anti-holomorphic part.

5.1. **Connections on equivariant line bundles.** A connection on the principal bundle over the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$, is a covariant splitting of the module of one-forms $\Omega^1(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) = \Omega^1_{\mathrm{ver}}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \oplus \Omega^1_{\mathrm{hor}}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$. Equivalently, it can be given by a covariant left module projection $\Pi : \Omega^1(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)) \to \Omega^1_{\mathrm{ver}}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$, i.e. $\Pi^2 = \Pi$ and $\Pi(x\,\alpha) = x\,\Pi(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$. Covariance is the requirement that

$$\alpha^{(1)}_R \circ \Pi = \Pi \circ \alpha^{(1)}_R \ ,$$

with $\alpha_R^{(1)}$ the extension to one-forms of the action α_R in (2.3) of the structure group U(1). With the left-covariant three-dimensional calculus on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$, a basis for $\Omega^1_{\mathrm{hor}}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$ is given by ω_- and ω_+. Also, one has

$$\alpha_R^{(1)}(\omega_z) = \omega_z \ , \qquad \alpha_R^{(1)}(\omega_-) = \omega_- \ z^{*\,2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_R^{(1)}(\omega_+) = \omega_+ \ z^2 \ ,$$

and so a natural choice of connection $\Pi = \Pi_z$ is to define ω_z to be vertical [1, 17], whence

$$\Pi_z(\omega_z) := \omega_z \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_z(\omega_\pm) := 0 \ .$$

For the associated covariant derivative on right $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-modules of equivariant elements,

$$\nabla := (\mathrm{id} - \Pi_z) \circ \mathrm{d} \ : \ \mathcal{E} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)} \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \ ,$$

one readily proves the Leibniz rule $\nabla(\varphi \cdot f) = (\nabla\varphi)\cdot f + \varphi \otimes \mathrm{d}f$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$. With the left-covariant two-dimensional calculus on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ given in §2.6 (and coming from the left-covariant three-dimensional calculus on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$), we have then

$$\nabla\varphi = (X_+ \triangleright \varphi) \ \omega_+ + (X_- \triangleright \varphi) \ \omega_- . \tag{5.1}$$

Given the connection, one can work out an explicit expression for its curvature, defined to be the $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-linear (by construction) map

$$\nabla^2 := \nabla \circ \nabla \ : \ \mathcal{L}_n \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{L}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)} \Omega^2(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) \ .$$

Using (5.1), (2.14) and the fact that $\mathrm{d}\omega_\pm = 0$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$, by the Leibniz rule one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\big(\nabla\varphi\big) &= (X_- \, X_+ \triangleright \varphi) \, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ + (X_+ \, X_- \triangleright \varphi) \, \omega_+ \wedge \omega_- \\ &= \big((X_- \, X_+ - q^2 \, X_+ \, X_-) \triangleright \varphi\big) \, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ = (X_z \triangleright \varphi) \ \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ , \end{aligned}$$

the last quality coming from the relation $X_- \, X_+ - q^2 \, X_+ \, X_- = X_z$. As expected, the curvature is $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$-linear, as it also follows by observing that $X_z \triangleright \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q) = 0$.

Things become a bit more transparent when taking $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}_n$. Then $X_\pm \triangleright \varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{n\pm 2}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_n$ and from (2.7) one may conclude that, as required,

$$\nabla\varphi \ \in \ \mathcal{L}_{n-2} \, \omega_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_{n+2} \, \omega_+ \simeq \mathcal{L}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)} \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q).$$

We can also derive an explicit expression for the corresponding connection one-form a_n defined by $\varphi \, \mathsf{a}_n = \nabla\varphi - \mathrm{d}\varphi$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_n$. With X_z the vertical vector field in (2.19), using (2.11) and (5.1) one finds $\varphi \, \mathsf{a}_n = -\,(X_z \triangleright \varphi) \ \omega_z = q^{n+1} \, [n] \, \varphi \, \omega_z$, or

$$\mathsf{a}_n = q^{n+1} \, [n] \, \omega_z \ .$$

As usual, a_n is *not* defined on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ but rather on the total space $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ of the bundle, i.e. $\mathsf{a}_n \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)}(\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)} \Omega^1(\mathrm{SU}_q(2)))$. In terms of it, as a direct consequence of the first identity in (2.13), the curvature two-form is then given by

$$\mathsf{f}_n := \nabla^2 = \mathrm{d}\,\mathsf{a}_n = -q^{n+1} \, [n] \, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ ,$$

using the first relation in (2.13); and now $\mathsf{f}_n \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)}(\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)} \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$.

5.2. **Holomorphic structures.** The connection in (5.1) lends itself to be naturally decomposed into a holomorphic and an anti-holomorphic part, $\nabla = \nabla^{\partial} + \nabla^{\overline{\partial}}$. These are:

$$\nabla^{\partial}\varphi = (X_{+} \triangleright \varphi)\ \omega_{+} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{\overline{\partial}}\varphi = (X_{-} \triangleright \varphi)\ \omega_{-}, \tag{5.2}$$

with the corresponding Leibniz rules: for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q)$ it holds that,

$$\nabla^{\partial}(\varphi \cdot f) = \big(\nabla^{\partial}\varphi\big) \cdot f + \varphi \otimes \partial f \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{\overline{\partial}}(\varphi \cdot f) = \big(\nabla^{\overline{\partial}}\varphi\big) \cdot f + \varphi \otimes \overline{\partial} f\ .$$

They are both flat, that is, $(\nabla^{\partial})^2 = 0 = (\nabla^{\overline{\partial}})^2$. Holomorphic 'sections' are elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$ which satisfy $\nabla^{\overline{\partial}}\varphi = 0$ and we denotes the collection of them as $H^0(\mathcal{E}, \nabla^{\overline{\partial}})$.

For $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}_n$, results on holomorphic sections were obtained in [12]. From the actions given in (2.1) we see that $F \triangleright a^s = 0$ and $F \triangleright c^s = 0$ for any integer $s \in \mathbb{N}$, while $F \triangleright a^{*\,s} \neq 0$ and $F \triangleright c^{*\,s} \neq 0$ for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, from the expressions (2.9) for generic equivariant elements, we see that there are no holomorphic elements in $\mathcal{L}_n$ for $n > 0$. On the other hand, for $n \leq 0$ the elements $a^{|n|-\mu}\, c^{\mu}$ for $\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, |n|$, are holomorphic:

$$\nabla^{\overline{\partial}}\big(a^{|n|-\mu}\, c^{\mu}\big) = 0\ . \tag{5.3}$$

Since $\ker \overline{\partial} = \mathbb{C}$ (as only the constant functions on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ do not contain the generator a^* or c^*), so that the only holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ are the constants [12], these are the only invariants in degree n. We may conclude that holomorphic equivariant elements are all polynomials in two variables a, c with the commutation relation $a\, c = q\, c\, a$, which defines the coordinate algebra of the quantum plane. We have the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$H^0(\mathcal{L}_n, \nabla^{\overline{\partial}}) = 0, \quad \textit{while} \quad H^0(\mathcal{L}_{-n}, \nabla^{\overline{\partial}}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

Moreover the space $R := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{L}_{-n}, \nabla^{\overline{\partial}})$ has a ring structure and is isomorphic to

$$R \simeq \mathbb{C}\langle a, c\rangle/(ac - qca).$$

Thus there is a quantum homogeneous coordinate ring for $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ that results into the coordinate ring of the quantum plane for the variable a and c with relation $ac - qca = 0$.

In a similar fashion one shows that:

$$\nabla^{\partial}\big(a^{*\,\mu}\, c^{*\,n-\mu}\big) = 0\ , \tag{5.4}$$

so that the elements $a^{*\,\mu}\, c^{*\,n-\mu}$ for $\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, are anti-holomorphic.

6. Twisted sigma-model solitons

In computing the integral of the curvature on bundles over the quantum projective line in [16] we already come across to the equations (4.9) for a class of solutions, albeit not thinking or interpreting them as noncommutative instantons. We shall now work out explicitly solutions of the self-duality equations (4.8) by using results from [12] (on the holomorphic structure on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ described in Sect. 5.2 above), in a way that can be extended to more general quantum projective spaces $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n_q$ in any dimension.

6.1. **Explicit solutions.** The line bundles in (2.6) can be realised as right modules: $\mathcal{L}_n \simeq \mathsf{P}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))^{|n|+1}$ with projections P written explicitly [2, 11]. These are elements P^Φ and P^Ψ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{|n|+1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q))$ (for $n \geq 0$ and $n \leq 0$ respectively) whose explicit form we give now following [16] (if exchanging the sign of the label n there due to the passage from left-modules there to right ones here). Consider then vector valued functions

$$(\Phi_{(n)})_\mu = \sqrt{\alpha_{n,\mu}}\; c^{n-\mu}a^\mu, \qquad \mu = 0, 1, \ldots, n, \qquad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0$$

$$(\Psi_{(n)})_\mu = \sqrt{\beta_{n,\mu}}\; c^{*\mu}a^{*|n|-\mu}, \qquad \mu = 0, 1, \ldots, |n|\,, \qquad \text{for} \quad n \leq 0,$$

with coefficients (and convention $\prod_{j=0}^{-1}(\cdot) = 1$)

$$\alpha_{n,\mu} = \prod\nolimits_{j=0}^{n-\mu-1}\left(\frac{1-q^{2(n-j)}}{1-q^{2(j+1)}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{|n|,\mu} = q^{2\mu}\prod\nolimits_{j=0}^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{1-q^{-2(|n|-j)}}{1-q^{-2(j+1)}}\right),$$

for $\mu = 0, \ldots, n$ or $\mu = 0, \ldots, |n|$ respectively. These coefficients are such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^*_{(n)}\,\Phi_{(n)} &= \sum\nolimits_{\mu=0}^{n}(\Phi^*_{(n)})_\mu(\Phi_{(n)})_\mu \\ &= \sum\nolimits_{\mu=0}^{|n|}\alpha_{n,\mu}\,a^{*\mu}c^{*n-\mu}c^{n-\mu}a^\mu = (a^*a + c^*c)^n = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^*_{(n)}\Psi_{(n)} &= \sum\nolimits_{\mu=0}^{|n|}(\Psi^*_{(n)})_\mu(\Psi_{(n)})_\mu \\ &= \sum\nolimits_{\mu=0}^{|n|}\beta_{n,\mu}\,a^{|n|-\mu}c^\mu c^{*\mu}a^{*|n|-\mu} = (aa^* + q^2cc^*)^{|n|} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

We have then projections:

$$\mathsf{P}^\Phi := \Phi_{(n)}\Phi^*_{(n)}, \qquad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$\mathsf{P}^\Phi_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{\alpha_{n,\mu}\alpha_{n,\nu}}\; c^{n-\mu}a^\mu a^{*\nu}c^{*n-\nu} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q), \tag{6.3}$$

and $$\mathsf{P}^\Psi := \Psi_{(n)}\Psi^*_{(n)}, \qquad \text{for} \quad n \leq 0,$$

$$\mathsf{P}^\Psi_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{\beta_{n,\mu}\beta_{n,\nu}}\; c^{*\mu}a^{*|n|-\mu}a^{|n|-\nu}c^\nu \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q). \tag{6.4}$$

By construction, they are idempotents: $(\mathsf{P}^\Phi)^2 = \mathsf{P}^\Phi$ and $(\mathsf{P}^\Psi)^2 = \mathsf{P}^\Psi$ (and self-adjoint).

To following result is in the proof of [16, Lemma 4.3]. We shall re-derive the result by more direct methods using the holomorphic structure on the bundles $\mathcal{L}_n$ given in Sect. 5.2.

Lemma 6.1. *With the two-dimensional calculus on the projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ given in Sect. 2.6, if P^Φ is the projection given in* (6.3)*, it holds that*

$$\mathsf{P}^\Phi\,\partial\mathsf{P}^\Phi = 0, \tag{6.5}$$

while if P^Ψ is the projection given in (6.4)*, it holds that*

$$\mathsf{P}^\Psi\,\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}^\Psi = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. By (5.3) and (5.4) (and working component-wise), for any $n \geq 0$ the vector $\Phi_{(n)}$ is holomorphic, that is $\nabla^{\overline{\partial}}\Phi_{(n)} = 0$, while the vector $\Psi_{(n)}$ is anti-holomorphic, that is $\nabla^\partial\Psi_{(n)} = 0$; and by conjugation one has also $\nabla^{\overline{\partial}}\Psi^*_{(n)} = 0$ and $\nabla^\partial\Phi^*_{(n)} = 0$. Using these and the second identity in (2.9) (the twisted Leibniz rule for X_+) one easily computes:

$$\partial\mathsf{P}^\Phi = (X_+\triangleright\mathsf{P}^\Phi)\omega_+ = (X_+\triangleright\Phi_{(n)})(K^2\triangleright\Phi^*_{(n)})\omega_+ = q^n(X_+\triangleright\Phi_{(n)})\Phi^*_{(n)}\omega_+$$

and, since $\Phi^*_{(n)}\Phi_{(n)} = 1$ and $X_+ \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \partial \mathsf{P}^\Phi &= q^n \Phi_{(n)} \left[\Phi^*_{(n)}(X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)})\right] \Phi^*_{(n)}\omega_+ \\ &= -q^n \Phi_{(n)} \left[(X_+ \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})(K^2 \triangleright \Phi_{(n)})\right] \Phi^*_{(n)}\omega_+ = 0,\end{aligned}$$

that is the stated (6.5). The second equation (6.6) is shown in a similar manner. □

Lemma 6.2. *With the two-dimensional calculus on the projective line* $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$ *given in Sect. 2.6, if* P^Φ *is the projection given in* (6.3)*, it holds that*

$$\mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Phi \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Phi = -q^{n+1}[n]\, \mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+. \tag{6.7}$$

While if P^Ψ *is the projection given in* (6.4)*, it holds that*

$$\mathsf{P}^\Psi\, \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Psi \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Psi\ = q^{-|n|+1}[|n|]\, \mathsf{P}^\Psi\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. We need to compute te quantity $\mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}^\Phi$. Just as for Lemma 6.1, one has

$$\overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}^\Phi = (X_- \triangleright \mathsf{P}^\Phi)\omega_- = \Phi_{(n)}(X_- \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})\omega_-$$

and in turn, using the fact that $\Phi^*_{(n)}\Phi_{(n)} = 1$, one gets:

$$\mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}^\Phi = \Phi_{(n)}\Phi^*_{(n)}\Phi_{(n)}(X_- \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})\omega_- = \Phi_{(n)}(X_- \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})\omega_-.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Phi \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Phi = \mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \overline{\partial}\mathsf{P}^\Phi \wedge \partial \mathsf{P}^\Phi &= q^n \Phi_{(n)}(X_- \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})\omega_-(X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)})\Phi^*_{(n)}\omega_+ \\ &= q^{n+2}\Phi_{(n)} \left[(X_- \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})(X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)})\right] \Phi^*_{(n)}\, \omega_- \wedge \omega_+.\end{aligned}$$

For the term in the square bracket, using the twisted Leibniz rule for X_+ and X_- and the holomorphic properties of the vector $\Phi_{(n)}$ one computes:

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 = X_+ \triangleright 1 = X_+ \triangleright (\Phi^*_{(n)}\, \Phi_{(n)}) = \Phi^*_{(n)}(X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)}) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & 0 = X_- \triangleright X_+ \triangleright 1 = X_- \triangleright (\Phi^*_{(n)}(X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)})) \\ & \quad = \Phi^*_{(n)} X_- \triangleright (X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)}) + (X_- \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})(K^2 \triangleright X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)}) \\ & \quad = q^{1-n}\big([n] + q\,(X_- \triangleright \Phi^*_{(n)})(X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)})\big)\end{aligned}$$

since $K^2 \triangleright X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)} = q^{2-n} X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)}$ and $X_- \triangleright (X_+ \triangleright \Phi_{(n)}) = q^{1-n}[n]\Phi_{(n)}$ by a direct if lengthy computation. By substituting in the square bracket above one gets the equality (6.7). The result (6.8) is shown along similar lines. □

Finally, it holds that [16, Lemma 5.1] that (recall the change in notation $n \to -n$)

$$\mathrm{tr_q}\, \mathsf{P}^\Phi\ = q^{-n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr_q}\, \mathsf{P}^\Psi\ = q^{|n|}.$$

This, together with (6.7) and (6.7) then leads to the computations:

$$\mathrm{Top}_\sigma(\mathsf{P}^\Phi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}^\Phi\, \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Phi \wedge \mathsf{P}^\Phi \mathsf{P}) = -q[n] \int_h \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ = -q\,[n],$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \mathrm{Top}_\sigma(\mathsf{P}^\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_h \mathrm{tr_q}(\mathsf{P}^\Psi\, \mathrm{d}\mathsf{P}^\Psi \wedge \mathsf{P}^\Psi \mathsf{P}) = q[|n|] \int_h \omega_- \wedge \omega_+ = q\,[|n|].$$

Notice the agreement with the sign rule in (4.10) for self-dual or anti-self-dual solutions in (6.5) or (6.6). As mentioned, in [21, 26] these were given as the q-index of the Dirac operator on the quantum projective line $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1_q$, thus giving them a 'topological' meaning.

The results of the present paper ought to be directly generalizable to the quantum projective spaces $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n_q$, using the complex and holomorphic structures on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n_q$ given in

[13, 14] that extends those introduced in [12]. Higher dimensional twisted sigma-model (anti)-instantons are to be found among the projections on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n_q$ constructed in [6, 5].

References

[1] T. Brzezinski, S. Majid, Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces, Commun. Math. Phys. 157 (1993) 591–638; Erratum 167 (1995) 235.

[2] T. Brzezinski, S. Majid, Line bundles on quantum spheres, AIP Conf. Proc. 345 (1998) 3–8.

[3] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.

[4] A. Connes, J. Cuntz, Quasi homomorphismes, cohomologie cyclique et positivité, Commun. Math. Phys. 114 (1988) 515–526.

[5] F. D'Andrea, L. Dabrowski, Dirac operators on Quantum Projective Spaces, Commun. Math. Phys. 295 (2010) 731–790.

[6] F. D'Andrea, G. Landi, Anti-selfdual Connections on the Quantum Projective Plane: Monopoles, Commun. Math. Phys. 297 (2010) 841–893.

[7] L. Dabrowski, G. Landi, F. Luef, Sigma-model solitons on noncommutative spaces, Lett. Math. Phys. 105 (2015) 1663–1688.

[8] L. Dabrowski, T. Krajewski, G. Landi, Some Properties of Non-linear σ-models in Noncommutative Geometry, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B14 (2000) 2367–2382.

[9] L. Dabrowski, T. Krajewski, G. Landi, Non-linear σ-models in Noncommutative Geometry: Fields with Values in Finite Spaces, Mod. Phys. Lett. A18 (2003) 2371–2380.

[10] T. Hadfield, Twisted cyclic homology of all Podleś quantum spheres, J. Geom. Phys. 57 (2007) 339–351.

[11] P.M. Hajac, S. Majid, Projective module description of the q-monopole, Commun. Math. Phys. 206 (1999) 247–264.

[12] M. Khalkhali, G. Landi, W.D. van Suijlekom, Holomorphic structures on the quantum projective line, Int. Math. Res. Not. 4 (2010) 851–884.

[13] M. Khalkhali, A. Moatadelro, The homogeneous coordinate ring of the quantum projective plane, J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011) 276–289

[14] M. Khalkhali, A. Moatadelro, Noncommutative complex geometry of the quantum projective space, J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011) 2436–2452

[15] A.U. Klimyk, K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and their Representations*, Springer, 1998.

[16] G. Landi, C. Reina, A. Zampini, Gauged Laplacians on quantum Hopf bundles, Commun. Math. Phys. 287 (2009) 179–209.

[17] S. Majid, Noncommutative Riemannian and spin geometry of the standard q-sphere, Commun. Math. Phys. 256 (2005) 255–285.

[18] T. Masuda, K. Mimachi, Y. Nakagami, M. Noumi, K. Ueno, Representations of the Quantum Group $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ and the Little q-Jacobi Polynomials, J. Funct. Anal. 99 (1991) 357–387.

[19] T. Masuda, Y. Nakagami, J. Watanabe, Noncommutative differential geometry on the quantum two sphere of P. Podleś. I: An algebraic viewpoint, K-Theory 5 (1991) 151–175.

[20] V. Mathai, J. Rosenberg, A noncommutative sigma-model, J. Noncomm. Geom. 5 (2011) 265–294.

[21] S. Neshveyev, L. Tuset, A local index formula for the quantum sphere, Commun. Math. Phys. 254 (2005) 323–341.

[22] P. Podleś, Quantum spheres, Lett. Math. Phys. 14 (1987) 193–202.

[23] P. Podleś, Differential calculus on quantum spheres, Lett. Math. Phys. 18 (1989) 107–119.

[24] K. Schmüdgen, E. Wagner, Dirac operator and a twisted cyclic cocycle on the standard Podleś quantum sphere, J. Reine Angew. Math. 574 (2004) 219–235.

[25] K. Schmüdgen, E. Wagner, Representations of cross product algebras of Podleś quantum spheres, J. Lie Theory 17 (2007) 751–790.

[26] E. Wagner, On the noncommutative spin geometry of the standard Podles sphere and index computations, J. Geom. Phys. 59 (2009) 998–1016.

[27] S.L. Woronowicz, Twisted $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus, Publ. Rest. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. 23 (1987) 117–181.

Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy and I.N.F.N. Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy.
email: landi@units.it